Case 4:14-cv-02543-CKJ Document 166 Filed 02/04/19 Page 1 of 3

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

<u>CIVIL MINUTES – GENERAL</u> (Tucson Division)

Date: February 4, 2019

Civil Case No. CV 14-2543-CKJ

Title: Clark v. Tucson, City of

Present:

Hon. Cindy K. Jorgenson

Deputy Clerk: <u>Sandra G. Fuller</u>

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF:

Jeffrey Jacobson

Court Reporter: Cheryl Cummings

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANTS:

Michelle Saavedra, Renee Waters

PROCEEDINGS: X Open Court Chambers Other

Motion in Limine Hearing. After discussing the issues with counsel, IT IS ORDERED:

Plaintiff's Motions in Limine Regarding Witness Testimony (Doc. 152):

- 1. Plaintiff's Motion in Limine Regarding Witness Ariane Phaneuf's Testimony: Plaintiff attests that she withdraws her objection to Ms. Phaneuf's testimony and **this issue is now moot**.
- 2. Plaintiff's Motion in Limine Regarding Witness Nikki Sprenger's Testimony: Denied.
- 3. Plaintiff's Motion in Limine Regarding Witness John Vincent's Testimony: Denied.
- 4. Plaintiff's Motion in Limine Regarding Witness Ken Brouilette's Testimony: **Denied**. Mr. Brouilette may testify consistent with the Court's April 25, 2018 Order regarding the remaining claims. (Doc. 131).
- 5. Plaintiff's Motion in Limine Regarding Witness Wayne Peate, M.D.'s Testimony: **Denied as moot**. The Parties stipulated that Dr. Peate may testify in his capacity as a custodian of record.
- 6. Plaintiff's Motion in Limine Regarding Witness Jeff Langejans, M.D.'s Testimony: **Denied as moot**.

Plaintiff's Motion in Limine For a Written Juror Questionnaire in Advance of Voir Dire (Doc. 154):

1. The Parties shall have until February 22, 2019 to meet-and-confer and provide the Court with a mutually agreeable proposed juror questionnaire.

Plaintiff's Motion to Strike Portions of Defendant's Response to Plaintiff's Motion in Limine For a Written Juror Questionnaire in Advance of Voir Dire (Doc. 157):

1. Plaintiff's Motion is **denied**.

Defendant's Motions in Limine 1, 2, and 3 (Doc. 147):

- 1. Defendant's Motion in Limine whether the Lack Of A Specific Policy For Expressing Milk At Work And/Or A Procedure For Submitting A Request For A Space To Express Milk Is Not A Violation Of Law: **The Court will take this matter under advisement.**
- 2. Defendant's Motion in Limine whether Carrie Clark Was Not Entitled To A Preferential Station Assignment Because Of Her Lactating Status: **Denied.**
- 3. Defendant's Motion in Limine whether Francis Kunz's Experience As Carrie's Mother And Her Experiences With Carrie's Two Sons Are Irrelevant: The Court will take this matter under advisement.

Defendant's Motions in Limine 4 and 5 (Doc. 148):

- 4. Defendant's Motion in Limine whether Carrie Clark Should Be Precluded From Testifying About Other Employees' Assignments Or The Alleged Reasons For Those Assignments: **Granted.**
- 5. Defendant's Motion in Limine whether Carrie Clark Should Be Precluded From Testifying About An Alleged Note Put On A Door At Station 6: **Granted.**

Defendant's Motions in Limine 6 and 7 (Doc. 149):

- 6. Defendant's Motion in Limine regarding Claimed retaliation against Captain Clark: **Denied as moot.**
- 7. Defendant's Motion in Limine regarding Captain Langejans: Granted.

Defendant's Motions in Limine 8 (Doc. 150):

8. Defendant's Motion in Limine regarding spousal privilege: Granted.

Case 4:14-cv-02543-CKJ Document 166 Filed 02/04/19 Page 3 of 3

Defendant's Motions in Limine 9 (Doc. 151):

- 9. Defendant's Motion in Limine regarding Austin Clark's Medical Treatment: Granted.
- 10. Defendant's Motion in Limine regarding Comparator Employees (Camarena, Valenzuela, and DeCastro): The Court will take this matter under advisement.
- 11. Defendant's Motion in Limine regarding Testimony from Diana Benson: Denied as moot.
- 12. Defendant's Motion in Limine regarding Testimony from Union Representatives (Tamietti, North, and Tamietti): **The Court will take this matter under advisement.**
- 13. Defendant's Motion in Limine regarding Testimony from Other Witnesses (Campbell, Conger, and Brown): **The Court will take this matter under advisement.**
- 14. Defendant's Motion in Limine regarding Testimony of Dr. Patricia Haynes: Granted.
- 15. To the extent counsel agree that additional depositions are required, discovery may be re-opened.
- 16. A final pretrial conference is set for March 19, 2019 at 11:00 AM.

Motion in Limine Hearing: 150 minutes

(10:14 a.m. – 12:01 p.m.) (2:30 p.m. – 3:13 p.m.)